

vice, is attached to the moving party's order. Rebecca G. Bradley, J. did not participate.
 Comment: (Add'l copies of motion rcv'd on 1/6/16)

OCCD SC 12- First Brief-Supreme Court
 30- [First Brief-Supreme Court](#)
 2015

Filed By: James Goldschmidt
 Comment: separate appendix

OCCD SC 12- Court Changed to Supreme Court
 02-
 2015

OCCD CA 12- Hold Status
 02-
 2015

OCCD SC 09- Certification Filed
 29-
 2015

Filed By: Supreme Court Supreme Court

Submit Date: 10-2-2015

Decision: (G) Grant

Decision Date: 12-2-2015

IT IS ORDERED the certification is granted and the appeal is accepted for consideration of all issues raised before the court of appeals. When this court grants direct review upon certification, it acquires jurisdiction of the case, Wis. Const. art. VII, 3(3), that is, the entire appeal, which includes all issues, not merely the issues certified or the issue for which the court accepts the certification. State v. Stoehr, 134 Wis. 2d 66, 70, 396 N.W.2d 177 (1986); Wis. Stat. 808.05(2) and (Rule) 809.61. Further, the court has jurisdiction over issues not certified because the court may review an issue directly on its own motion. Wis. Stat. 808.05(3); and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within 30 days after the date of this order the appellant must file either a brief in this court or a statement that no brief will be filed; that within 20 days of filing, the respondent must file either a brief or a statement that no brief will be filed; and that if a brief is filed by the respondent, within 10 days of filing, the appellant must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in any brief filed in this court the parties shall not incorporate by reference any portion of their court of appeals' brief; instead, any material upon which there is reliance should be restated in the brief filed in this court; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in the event any party elects not to file a brief in this court, the briefs previously submitted by that party to the court of appeals shall stand as that party's brief in the Supreme Court; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within the time period established for the filing of briefs, each party must provide the clerk of this court with copies of the briefs previously filed on behalf of that party in the court of appeals. If a party elects to file a new brief(s), 10 copies of their court of appeals brief(s) must be provided. If a party elects to stand on their court of appeals brief(s), 17 copies of each of their court of appeals brief(s) must be provided. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall be notified of the date and time for oral argument in this appeal in due course. Rebecca G. Bradley, J., did not participate.
 See DEC event filed on 9-29-2015

OCCD CA 09- [Opinion/Decision](#)
 29-
 2015

Judge Panel: Kessler, Curley, Bradley

Opinion: Certification

Decision: Certification Filed Pages: 14

Order Text: For the foregoing reasons, we respectfully request the supreme courts guidance regarding whether applying WIS. STAT. 895.046 retroactively deprives Clark of a vested property right in violation of the due process protections guaranteed by Article I, Section I of the Wisconsin Constitution.

OCCD CA 08- Submitted on Briefs
 03-
 2015

OCCD CA 07- Rejected Electronic Document
 21-
 2015

Comment: The letter is being rejected because letters are not accepted under the efilng rule at this time.

OCCD CA 07- Rejected Electronic Document
 21-