

Acute Lumbar Disk Pain: Navigating Evaluation and Treatment Choices

DAVID S. GREGORY, MD; CRAIG K. SETO, MD; GEORGE C. WORTLEY, MD; and CHRISTINE M. SHUGART, MD, Lynchburg Family Medicine Residency, Lynchburg, Virginia, and University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia

Am Fam Physician. 2008 Oct 1;78(7):835-842.

► **Patient information:** See related handout on [treating low back pain from a disk injury \(https://www.aafp.org/afp/2008/1001/p844.html\)](https://www.aafp.org/afp/2008/1001/p844.html), written by the authors of this article.

Acute lumbar disk herniations are the most common cause of sciatica. After excluding emergent causes, such as cauda equina syndrome, epidural abscess, fracture, or malignancy, a six-week trial of conservative management is indicated. Patients should be advised to stay active. If symptoms persist after six weeks, or if there is worsening neurologic function, imaging and invasive procedures may be considered. Most patients with lumbar disk herniations improve over six weeks. Because there is no difference in outcomes between surgical and conservative treatment after two years, patient preference and the severity of the disability from the pain should be considered when choosing treatment modalities. If a disk herniation is identified that correlates with physical findings, surgical discectomy may improve symptoms more quickly than continued conservative management. Epidural steroid injections can also provide short-term relief.

Low back pain is one of the most common reasons patients present to primary care practices, and is a leading cause of job-related disability in the United States.¹ Radiating acute lumbar back pain can indicate severe neurologic sequelae that must first be ruled out as causes of the pain ([Table 1](#)). Cauda equina syndrome, neoplasm, infection, and fracture may represent emergent situations that require expeditious evaluation and treatment. Physicians must investigate "red-flag" findings ([Table 2](#)) that are indicators of these serious conditions.

[View/Print Table](#)

SORT: KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PRACTICE

CLINICAL RECOMMENDATION	EVIDENCE RATING	REFERENCES	COMMENTS
Patients with acute lumbar pain should be advised to stay active.	A	16	Systematic review
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, and muscle relaxants may be effective for nonspecific low back pain, but have not been extensively studied with lumbar disk herniation pain.	B	17–20	Systematic reviews and conflicting RCTs
Systemic steroids are no better than placebo in the treatment of lumbar disk herniation pain.	A	21	Consistent RCTs
Epidural steroid injections for acute lumbar disk herniation may modestly improve pain in the short-term, but do not impact long-term outcomes.	A	22, 31	Systematic reviews
If red-flag findings are absent, a patient with sciatica should try conservative management for up to six weeks before obtaining imaging and considering surgical approaches.	A	11	Systematic review
Selected patients with lumbar disk herniation pain not improving after six weeks of conservative management may benefit from discectomy for faster clinical relief.	A	11	Systematic review
Discectomy has similar long-term outcomes as conservative or nonsurgical management.	A	12, 13	Consistent RCTs

RCT = randomized controlled trial.

A = consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence; B = inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, disease-oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, go to <https://www.aafp.org/afpsort.xml> (<https://www.aafp.org/afpsort.xml>).

[View/Print Table](#)

Table 1.

Differential Diagnosis for Radiating Acute Lumbar Pain

Cauda equina syndrome

Facet arthropathy

Greater trochanteric bursitis

Iliotibial band syndrome

Lumbar disk herniation

Meralgia paresthetica

Piriformis syndrome

Pseudoclaudication

Sacroiliitis

Spinal neoplasms

Spinal stenosis

Vertebral lesions (fracture or infection)

[View/Print Table](#)

Table 2.

“Red-Flag” Findings and Associated Spinal Disorders

<i>FINDINGS</i>	<i>ASSOCIATED SPINAL DISORDER</i>
Fecal incontinence	Cauda equine syndrome
Saddle anesthesia	
Urinary retention	
Immunosuppression	Infection
Intravenous drug use	
Unexplained fever	
Chronic steroid use	Fracture or infection
Osteoporosis	Fracture
Significant trauma at any age	Neoplasm or fracture
Older than 50 years, and mild trauma	
History of cancer (i.e., weight loss)	
Unexplained weight loss	Neoplasm
Focal neurologic deficit progressive or disabling symptoms	Any of the above
No improvement after six weeks of conservative management	

Information from reference 2.

Sciatica is defined as pain originating in the lower back and radiating down the posterior or lateral thigh.³ The evaluation for sciatica begins with excluding serious spinal diseases. In the absence of red-flag findings, the most common cause for sciatica is lumbar disk herniation. Only 4 percent of patients with acute lumbar pain with sciatica will have a radiologically detectable lumbar disk herniation,³ although 99 percent of patients with symptomatic lumbar disk herniation present with sciatica.⁴

Acute lumbar disk herniation can produce severe, function-limiting pain that usually resolves with conservative management. Because a small proportion of lumbar disk herniations can result in serious disability and progressive neurologic dysfunction, surgical treatments are sometimes indicated.

History and Physical Examination

Sciatic pain is not specific for lumbar disk herniation. Many other common conditions cause radiating pain similar to sciatica ([Table 1](#)). Symptoms that increase the specificity of sciatica from lumbar disk herniation include pain that is worse in the leg than in the back; a typical dermatomal distribution of neurologic symptoms (e.g., pain, numbness, cold sensation); and pain that is worse with the Valsalva maneuver (e.g., coughing, sneezing, straining).⁵ Although most patients with lumbar disk herniation present with sciatica, patients may also present with less common symptoms such as nonradiating pain and sensory/motor deficits. Patients with intellectual disabilities, neurologic conditions, dementia, or communication disorders may not present with a complaint of pain, or exhibit typical pain behavior. Instead, they may present with a change in mobility or functional status.

When lumbar disk herniation is suspected, the physical examination should include a full examination of the pelvis and lower extremities, including a neurologic examination to evaluate sensation, strength, and reflexes, and provocative tests, such as the straight-leg-raise test. Although not specific, the straight-leg-raise test is the most sensitive test for lumbar disk herniation, with a negative result strongly indicating against lumbar disk herniation.^{4,6}

The straight-leg-raise test can be performed with the patient supine or seated, although the supine test has higher sensitivity for lumbar disk herniation. With supine straight-leg-raise testing, a positive result has been defined as radiating pain observed at 30 to 70 degrees of hip flexion, with a smaller angle indicating a more significantly positive result. The crossed straight-leg-raise test is performed with the straight-leg-raise test. For this test, the physician observes for radiating pain in the affected leg while lifting the patient's opposite uninvolved leg. A positive crossed straight-leg-raise test is more specific for lumbar disk herniation, and it complements the sensitive uncrossed straight-leg-raise test.

Other physical findings specific for lumbar disk herniation include weak ankle dorsiflexion and absent ankle reflex, although most patients with acute lumbar disk herniation do not have these findings ([Table 3](#)⁴). Calf muscle wasting is a late finding with lumbar disk herniation, taking four to six weeks to appear. It should alert the physician to severe neuromotor dysfunction or preexisting chronic neurologic impingement. Some findings may localize the radiculopathy to a specific nerve root⁴ ([Figure 1](#)). Clinical determination of the involved nerve root helps correlate symptoms with findings on imaging. Because radiologic lumbar disk herniation is common in asymptomatic people, this helps determine whether a lumbar disk herniation is linked to a patient's complaints. An abnormal patellar reflex predicts L3 or L4 radiculopathy. L5 radiculopathy is best predicted by sensory loss on the dorsum of the foot at the third metatarsophalangeal joint. The best predictors of acute S1 radiculopathy are weak ankle plantar flexion and sensory loss on the lateral heel.^{4,7} Although an asymmetric absent ankle reflex is specific for lumbar disk herniation,⁸ the predictive value is not high.^{4,7}

[View/Print Table](#)

Table 3.
Physical Examination Findings Associated with Lumbar Disk Herniation

FINDINGS	SENSITIVITY (%)	SPECIFICITY (%)	POSITIVE LIKELIHOOD RATIO	NEGATIVE LIKELIHOOD RATIO
Motor examination				
Weak ankle dorsiflexion	54	89	4.9	0.5
Calf wasting*	29	94	5.2	0.8
Sensory examination				
Leg sensation abnormal	16	86	NS	NS
Reflex examination				
Abnormal ankle reflex	48	89	4.3	0.6
Provocative tests				
Straight-leg-raise test	73 to 98	11 to 61	NS	0.2
Crossed straight-leg-raise test	23 to 43	88 to 98	4.3	0.8


NS = not significant.

*— Calf wasting may take four to six weeks to develop, and may represent chronic impingement or severe, progressive neuromotor dysfunction.

Adapted with permission from McGee S. Disorders of the nerve roots, plexi, and peripheral nerves. In: Evidence-Based Physical Diagnosis. Philadelphia, Pa.: Saunders, 2001:809.

[View/Print Figure](#)

Localizing Neurologic Levels




Imaging

Patients with sciatica do not always require imaging of the spine. Radiographic findings of lumbar disk herniation are common in patients without back pain, and not all neurologic findings correlate with imaging results.³ The timing and modality of imaging is based on risk factors for serious spinal disease, the patient's clinical progress, and the characteristics of the imaging modality.

If red-flag findings ([Table 2](#)) are present, imaging is highly recommended. Emergent imaging is required with symptoms of cauda equina syndrome or lumbar myelopathy, such as saddle anesthesia, fecal incontinence, or urinary retention. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is preferred over other modalities ([Figure 2](#)⁸⁻¹³). If red-flag findings are absent, many clinical guidelines recommend delaying imaging until completing a six-week trial of conservative management.⁸⁻¹⁰

[View/Print Figure](#)

Treatment of Acute Lumbar Disk Herniation



Imaging modalities evaluated to detect lumbar disk herniation include myelography, computed tomography (CT), CT myelography, and MRI ([Table 4](#)).³⁻¹⁴ Standard myelography and CT myelography are invasive procedures that carry more risk and are less predictive for lumbar disk herniation than standard CT or MRI. CT and MRI provide similar sensitivity and specificity for lumbar disk herniation, although MRI provides a more detailed evaluation of the nerve roots and soft tissues of the spine.³

[View/Print Table](#)

Table 4.

Radiographic Findings with Lumbar Disk Herniation

TEST	SENSITIVITY (%)	SPECIFICITY (%)	POSITIVE LIKELIHOOD RATIO	NEGATIVE LIKELIHOOD RATIO
Myelography	82	67	—	—
CT	62 to 90	70 to 87	2.1 to 6.9	0.1 to 0.5
MRI	60 to 100	43 to 97	1.1 to 33	0 to 0.9

CT = computed tomography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging.

Information from references 3 and 14.

Conservative Management

For 90 percent of patients with lumbar disk herniation, acute sciatica starts to improve within six weeks and resolves by 12 weeks with conservative care.¹⁵ Several nonsurgical treatments have proven effective in improving symptoms of lumbar disk herniation and should be considered first-line in the first six weeks of conservative management. Bed rest is less effective for sciatica than activity. In general, bed rest should be limited to avoid muscle deconditioning.¹⁶

Several medications have been used to treat lumbar disk herniation pain. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), acetaminophen, and muscle relaxants have been shown to be effective in the treatment of nonspecific low back pain, but these therapies have not been as extensively studied with lumbar disk herniation pain.^{17,18} Because available studies of NSAIDs with lumbar disk herniation pain provide conflicting conclusions,^{19,20} and because the effectiveness of muscle relaxants and acetaminophen for lumbar disk herniation pain has not been studied, the role of these therapies remains unclear. Systemic corticosteroids are no better than placebo for

lumbar disk herniation pain²¹ and have no role in conservative management. Opioid analgesics have not been studied for lumbar disk herniation pain, but are generally considered standard conservative therapy for patients with severe, function-limiting pain.

Physical therapy typically has had a role in conservative management of lumbar disk herniation, although best evidence suggests there is little to support its effectiveness for improving pain or functional status.²² Cost-effectiveness analysis concludes that physical therapy is no more cost-effective than usual conservative management without physical therapy.²³ The effectiveness of physical therapy modalities, including therapeutic ultrasound, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), and traction is difficult to assess because of limited quantity and quality of studies. Therapeutic ultrasound and TENS may provide short-term benefit,²⁴⁻²⁵ but data on traction are conflicting, with recent systematic reviews concluding that traction is not effective.²⁶

Studies evaluating spinal manipulation for lumbar disk herniation have had conflicting results. Although one systematic review concludes that manipulation can be safely incorporated as a component of conservative management,²⁷ later meta-analyses have found no benefit of manipulation over other conservative therapies.^{22,28} A subsequent study comparing manipulation with sham manipulation found that manipulation significantly improved pain.²⁹ More high-quality studies are needed to determine the role of spinal manipulation in the management of lumbar disk herniation.

Cognitive interventions involve educating the patient to stay active and avoid activities that could worsen the pain. One study compared disability outcomes in patients with a herniated lumbar disk using cognitive intervention with exercise or surgery. No difference in disability outcomes were shown after one year of treatment; however, less fear and fewer avoidance behaviors were noted in patients given cognitive intervention.³⁰

Nonsurgical Invasive Treatments

Invasive nonsurgical treatments involve injections into the epidural space or the herniated disk. Steroids have been used in both locations to reduce inflammation. Epidural steroid injections may provide moderate short-term improvement of pain, but do not impact long-term outcomes, such as impairment of function, need for surgery, and pain after three months.³¹ There is fair evidence that injections done under radiologic guidance are more effective than injections without this guidance in terms of improving pain at intermediate follow-up, and disability at short-term and intermediate follow-up.²² Epidural steroid injections have a role for certain patients in the management of short-term pain from lumbar disk herniation. A study of intradiscal corticosteroid injections has not shown benefit over placebo for treatment of discogenic pain.³²

Chemonucleolysis is a procedure involving percutaneous injection of a substance into the disk to digest and ablate herniated disk material. Chymopapain, the papaya extract once used for this purpose, has been proven unsafe.¹¹ Chemonucleolysis with other substances is in experimental stages, but presently has no role in the management of lumbar disk herniation.

Surgical Treatments

The indications for emergent surgical intervention for sciatica include cauda equina syndrome, epidural abscess, or severe and progressive neuromotor deficits. Patients with no improvement after six weeks of conservative management should undergo MRI or CT (*Figure 28-13*). At this point, appropriate surgical candidates include patients with persistent neuromotor deficit, or severe sciatica with a positive straight-leg-raise test and imaging demonstrating lumbar disk herniation at the nerve root level correlating with the patient's examination findings.^{8,11,33}

The purpose of surgery is to relieve nerve root compression or irritation from herniated disk material. Two surgical techniques include open discectomy and microdiscectomy, which involves disk removal with the aid of a surgical microscope. These techniques have demonstrated similar surgical outcomes when compared directly.¹¹ A systematic review and a recent large randomized controlled trial (RCT) show that surgical discectomy in carefully selected patients with sciatica from lumbar disk herniation provided faster relief of pain and disability than patients who were treated with conservative management. Surgery has been shown to have greater improvement in pain and disability than conservative treatment in the first two years after surgery, after which the outcomes are no different.^{11,12}

The optimal timing for surgery is still unclear, but most surgical studies have followed a minimum six-week trial of conservative therapy before surgical intervention. One recent RCT comparing prolonged conservative management with early microdiscectomy for lumbar disk herniation concluded that a longer course of conservative management before surgery (i.e., averaging more than 18 weeks) did not alter the incidence of adverse outcomes as a result of waiting longer before surgery.¹³

Patient Counseling

The natural history of lumbar disk herniation reveals that large herniations typically reabsorb with time,³³ and symptoms will improve in most patients with conservative management alone. If imaging correlates well, surgical referral should be offered, but only as a potential means of expediting improvement in pain and disability over conservative management alone.¹¹ Patients should be informed that the expected amount of pain and disability two years after surgery will be indistinguishable from the pain two years after prolonged conservative management. Patients who are not surgical candidates or who decide to continue conservative management should expect their clinical improvement to be slower than for patients who undergo surgery.¹²


The Authors [show all author info](#)

DAVID S. GREGORY, MD, FAAFP, is assistant professor of clinical family medicine with the University of Virginia in Charlottesville, and with Virginia Commonwealth University in Richmond. Dr. Gregory is a graduate of the Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine and completed a family medicine residency at Eglin Air Force Base Regional Hospital in Florida. He completed a faculty development fellowship at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and currently serves as director of pediatric education and didactic programs at the Lynchburg (Va.) Family Medicine Residency....

REFERENCES [show all references](#)

1. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Health, United States, 2006, with chartbook on trends in the health of Americans. <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hus/hus06.pdf> (<http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hus/hus06.pdf>). Accessed June 13, 2008....

0 comments

 [Sign In](#) to comment

Continue reading from October 1, 2008 (<https://www.aafp.org/afp/2008/1001/>)

Previous: Promoting Oral Health: The Family Physicians' Role (<https://www.aafp.org/afp/2008/1001/p814.html>)

Next: Common Oral Conditions in Older Persons (<https://www.aafp.org/afp/2008/1001/p845.html>)

View the full table of contents >> (<https://www.aafp.org/afp/2008/1001/>)

Copyright © 2008 by the American Academy of Family Physicians.

This content is owned by the AAFP. A person viewing it online may make one printout of the material and may use that printout only for his or her personal, non-commercial reference. This material may not otherwise be downloaded, copied, printed, stored, transmitted or reproduced in any medium, whether now known or later invented, except as authorized in writing by the AAFP. Contact afpserv@aaafp.org (<mailto:afpserv@aaafp.org>) for copyright questions and/or permission requests.

Want to use this article elsewhere? [Get Permissions](https://www.aafp.org/journals/afp/permissions/requests.html) (<https://www.aafp.org/journals/afp/permissions/requests.html>)

Acute Lumbar Disk Pain: Navigating Evaluation and Treatment Choices - American Family Physician

<https://www.aafp.org/afp/2008/1001/p835.html>

Copyright © 2019 American Academy of Family Physicians. All rights reserved.

11400 Tomahawk Creek Parkway • Leawood, KS 66211-2680

800.274.2237 • 913.906.6000 • Fax: 913.906.6075 • contactcenter@aafp.org
