

captured 4/30/14

RATIFICATION OF COMMENCEMENT

Bigger text (+) | Smaller text (-)

RATIFICATION OF COMMENCEMENT AND THE REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

RULE 17 OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

By: Samuel Lynn: Davis, Accused on behalf of SAMUEL DAVIS

March 11, 2010

Back in January in Las Vegas, a meeting was held with about 75 people in attendance. This meeting was our typically scheduled bi-monthly meeting with an emphasis on using caution when attempting to do the 1099OID [tax] processes, to be sure in one's own mind that this method was proper and correct and one could defend it. On Sunday, we held a moot court session in which we looked at various ways to deal with court procedures. It was a very simple session, just dealing with different ways to handle arraignments. While I played the part of judge, another fellow played the prosecutor and we asked for volunteers in the audience to come forward and participate. We had a volunteer from Hawaii whom I believe has provided the missing link to our processes. As he was being arraigned by me, the judge, I asked him to enter a plea, to which he replied, "Your honor, I object, [as] there has been no ratification of commencement in the matter and I cannot enter a plea."

Now, you must know that I stopped immediately, looked at the audience and said, "I have no idea what he just said or did but it sounds very important." This man then took about 15 minutes to explain this. I don't mind telling you that not only was I absolutely fascinated, but stunned by the revelation of this Rule and its [potential] impact on EVERY CASE in this country.

For years, I have been an emphatic supporter of "accepted for value" and asking for claims. We have prepared and filed Affidavits of Specific Negative Averments from Rule 9(a) and (b) with varying degrees of success and failure. This man and his friend filed a one paragraph pleading in an IRS case involving six figures, entitled **OBJECTION FOR LACK OF RATIFICATION OF COMMENCEMENT**. Their friend had been arrested just days after our meeting in Las Vegas on a Federal warrant issued in December of 2008, he was incarcerated and awaiting the next moves of the government when this man filed the pleading. 2 hours after the pleading was filed, the judge in the case called a hearing, recalled the warrant, ordered the man released from jail whereupon he was released at a convenience store somewhere in Hawaii! As I have analyzed what has gone on here and looked at the rule, the definitions searched the internet for the phrase and just considered how it all fits together. It fits perfectly. I will explain in detail how I see this.

Rule 17(a) Real Parties in Interest:

"Every action shall be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest. An executor, administrator, guardian, bailee, trustee of an express trust, a party with whom or in whose name a contract has been made for the benefit of another, or a party authorized by statute may sue in that person's own name without joining the party for whose benefit the action is brought; and when a statute of the United States so provides, an action for the use or benefit of another shall be brought in the name of the United States. No action shall be dismissed on the ground that it is not prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest until a reasonable time has been allowed after objection for ratification of commencement of the action by, or joinder or substitution of, the real party in interest; and such ratification, joinder, or substitution shall have the same effect as if the action had been commenced in the name of the real party in interest."

Let's take a look at what this rule is saying: "No action shall be dismissed . . . after objection . . . for ratification of commencement of the action by the real party in interest."

What is **ratification of commencement? It is the CLAIM!!** No one has a claim in government, they have told us that every time. They can't have a claim; they are neither injured nor trespassed. I believe that it this **"ratification"** is the piece that comes **before** the question of

claim, and if someone alleges they have a claim, then they have to produce the ratification of commencement.

Now, the real test in an alleged criminal matter is that this is a civil rule. According to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (F.R.C.P.), Rule 1, there is only one form of action, a civil action. This is important because 1) all crimes are commercial, (27 CFR 72.11) and 2) Every alleged crime has to have "**nature and cause**", **AND** be prosecuted in the name of the people of the state as a [the] REAL PARTY IN INTEREST -- NOT the "PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF _____" or the People of the State of _____, as that is the corporation and is an impossibility. This is where [personal / self] study and understanding is so important. If one goes on-line and types in "ratification of commencement" one will find case after case that will explain the basics further on this, but I believe it to be a critical piece. I put it out there for further study and comment.

The last 10 years I have taught the 3 questions:

1. May I have your name please?
2. Do you have a claim against me? And
3. Do you know anyone who has a claim against me?

Now, we have another very important piece in this process in my humble opinion. As I did, **in my own case in Federal court in Las Vegas the other day, I encourage each person reading this to consider this and the ramifications. When the judge began to tell me about my situation and asked if I had an understanding of the situation, I said, and I quote: "Your honor, I object, there has been no ratification of commencement in this matter." The judge looked at me and said that he did not know what that was to which I explained as briefly as possible that it was Rule 17, real parties in interest. He told me that was a civil rule and this was a criminal matter, nature and cause, to which I replied and pointed out that there is only one form of action and that is a civil action, please see your Rule 1.**

He noted my objection for the record, which is what I wanted, and then in a few moments entered a plea of not guilty on behalf of the straw-man to which I replied," your honor, I accept for value this entire matter and proceeding." The judge then informed me that he had no idea what that meant and I told him that [he was presumed to know the law] I was not there to tell him the law. He then held a detention hearing and eventually ordered me released.

Now, much more happened and I will produce another report on all of that episode and what precipitated the event, this is about you and how you can potentially help yourself, or others, in ANY MATTER.

The point is this: the objection for lack of ratification of commencement, which is basically the "proof of claim" made under oath and pain and penalty of perjury and without it, you and "they" cannot move forward. If someone produces or alleges that they do indeed have "ratification of commencement" then the person purporting to have the same needs to be asked immediately: may I have your name please; and 2) do you have a claim against me? I believe you will find that the person does NOT HAVE A CLAIM against you and therefore, the alleged ratification of commencement is no such thing. These most important things cannot be separated or ignored. They both go right to the heart of every matter in court.

Now, you also must understand that if you have actually injured another living, breathing man or woman, or their property, they can provide such ratification. This is very simple and it is also very easy, no more than a couple of paragraphs usually and I will be providing live broadcast teachings on this in the next couple of weeks when I get back up on top of things here.

Ladies and Gentlemen, the Federal government has chosen to entrap me in an attempt to silence me. They have accused me of being a domestic terrorist and a "national leader" of the anti-government movement. The real truth is simply this: nothing can be further from the truth. Today in America, if you support Ron Paul, former congressman Bob Barr, Chuck Baldwin or anyone like them, (see Secret Missouri [State Police Report](#)) you are on a terrorist watch list. I know personally a young man who was held at the Canadian border for over an hour because his car displayed a Ron Paul for president bumper sticker. Our views of our country, our constitution, Bill of Rights, and especially a belief in a Creator or Sovereign Being other than the accepted government, Democrats or Republicans, (a shirts and skins basketball practice of and on the same team as my son gives the analogy), you are considered a threat to the government and a domestic terrorist. If you file a paper

or document in your own defense because you can't afford an attorney or don't want an attorney you are a paper terrorist. When does it end? When do you and I, our friends and family, say finally, enough is enough? When do we wake up from this financial insanity and realize that we only borrow our own money and pay interest for the privilege? The calls to action is now, either study and learn what is going on, or as I replied in a recent email, bow down and kiss the ring of the "authority" in your life. I have never, ever advocated any action against anyone in government for any reason. I have had people asked me to assist them in suing the government for some action that really does deem a lawsuit or other action, always declining to assist. The real question is this," what is so terrifying about these three words: "accept for value"?" Now we have added three more words, "ratification of commencement".

Good luck America, may God bless us all.

Discover the words:

Ratification: [wikipedia](#) / [reference.com](#)

Commencement: [reference.com](#)

For more information see:

Howard Freeman: [The UCC Connection](#)

Jim Rivers and Obie-One: Kanobi -- [The Nature of a Remedy](#)

Also, consider that you may need to be a [Belligerent Claimant](#)

NOTICE: Samuel Lynn: Davis is not affiliated with Freedom School.

If anything in this presentation is found to be in error a good faith effort will be made to correct it in timely fashion upon notification.

(This page was last modified on: 12/12/2012 13:49:24)

Specialty Areas

All the powers in the universe seem to favor the person who has confidence.

[Share / Save](#) [f](#) [t](#) [e](#)

[Subscribe](#) [v](#) [u](#) [d](#) [...](#)

[ShareThis](#)

More & Other Information - Resource Pages

Admiralty related items	Belligerent Claimant
Bonds	Attention Signing the Constitution Away
Citizenship / nationality related items	Education
Graphics	History
Jerry Kirk	Aware
Jurisdiction	Law related items
Lewis Mohr	Luis Ewing
Money	Oath related items
Reading Material	Reading Room
Stuff	Tax matters
Travel related	Truth
	Video

NOTICE: The information on this page was brought to you by people who paid this website forward so that someone such as you might also profit by having access to it. If you care to do so also please feel encouraged to KEEP THIS SITE GOING by making a donation today. Thank you.



Freedom School is not affiliated with the links on this page - unless otherwise stated.

Freedom School information served for educational purposes only, no liability assumed for use.

The information you obtain at this site is not, nor is it intended to be, legal advice.

Freedom School does not consent to unlawful action.

Freedom School advocates and encourages one and all to adhere to, support and defend all law which is particularly applicable.

Information is intended for those men and women who are not "US CITIZENS" or "TAXPAYERS" - continued use, reference or citing indicates voluntary and informed compliance.

Freedom School is a free speech site and operation as there is no charge for things presented
this site relies on this [memorandum](#) and others in support of this philosophy and operation.

The noteworthy failure of the government or any alleged agency thereof to at any time rebut anything appearing on this website constitutes a legal admission of the fidelity and accuracy of the materials presented, which are offered in good faith and prepared as such by Freedom School and third parties affiliated or otherwise. THIS IS AN ELECTRONIC AGREEMENT AND IS A LEGALLY BINDING CONTRACT, EQUIVALENT TO A SIGNED, WRITTEN CONTRACT BETWEEN PARTIES - If the government, or any one else, wants to assert that any of the religious and/or political statements that are not factual appearing on this website are in error, then they as the moving party have the burden of proof, and they must responsively meet that burden of proof under the Administrative Procedures Act 5 U.S.C. §556(d) and under the due process clauses found in the Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Amendments to the national Constitution BEFORE there will be response to any summons, questions, or unsubstantiated and slanderous accusations. Attempts at calling presented claims "frivolous" without specifically rebutting the particular claim, or claims, deemed "frivolous" will be in deed be "frivolous" and prima facie evidence that shall be used accordingly. Hey guys, if anything on this site is found to be in error a good faith effort will be made to correct it in timely fashion upon notification.

Freedom-School.com site, the DVD, or work computers' DMCA Policy

the Freedom-School.com site, the DVD, and/or work computers, make effort to be in compliance with 17 U.S.C. § 512 and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA"). It is our policy to respond to any infringement notices and take appropriate actions under the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA") and other applicable intellectual property laws.

If your copyrighted material has been posted on the Freedom-School.com site, the DVD, or work computers, in other than fair use capacity or if links to your copyrighted material are returned through our search engine and you want the material removed, you must provide a written communication that details the information listed in the following section. Please be aware that you will be liable for damages (including costs and attorneys' fees) if you misrepresent information listed on the site that is allegedly infringing on your alleged copyrights. We suggest that you may want to first contact competent legal assistance on this matter.

The following elements must be included in your copyright infringement claim:

- * Provide evidence of the authorized person to act on behalf of the fully disclosed alleged owner of an exclusive right that is allegedly infringed. Please notice that we generally do not deal with third parties.
- * Provide sufficient contact information so that we may contact you. You must also include a valid email address.
- * You must identify in sufficient detail the copyrighted work claimed to have been infringed and including at least one search term under which the material appears in Freedom-School.com search results.
- * A statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law.
- * A statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is allegedly infringed.
- * Must be signed by the authorized person to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is allegedly being infringed. (Proper ratification of commencement.)

Send the infringement notice via email to the postmaster at Freedom-School.com

Please allow 1-3 business days for an email response. Note that emailing your complaint to other parties such as our Internet Service Provider (ISP) or server host(s) will not expedite your request and may result in a delayed response due the complaint not being properly being filed.

Presentation Copyright© 2007, 2013

All Rights Reserved

[H O M E](#)